arXiv:0903.4558v1 [math.FA] 26 Mar 2009

SOME DYNAMICAL PROPERTIES FOR LINEAR OPERATORS

BINGZHE HOU, GENG TIAN, AND LUOYI SHI

Abstract. In our another recent article, we introduce a new dynamical property for linear operators called norm-unimodality which implies distributional chaos. In the present paper, we'll give a further discussion of norm-unimodality. It is showed that norm-unimodality is similar invariant and the spectra of norm-unimodal operator is referred to. As an application, in each nest algebra there exist distributional chaotic operators. Moreover, normal operators and compact operators with regard to norm-unimodality and Li-Yorke chaos are also be considered. Specially, a small compact perturbation of the unit operator could be distributionally chaotic.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A discrete dynamical system is simply a continuous mapping $f : X \to X$ where X is a complete separable metric space. For $x \in X$, the orbit of x under f is $Orb(f, x) = \{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$ where $f^n = f \circ f \circ \cdots \circ f$ is the n^{th} iterate of f obtained by composing f with n times.

In 1975, Li and Yorke [9] observed complicated dynamical behavior for the class of interval maps with period 3. This phenomena is currently known under the name of Li-Yorke chaos. Therefrom, several kinds of chaos were well studied. In the present article, we focus on distributional chaos.

Definition 1.1. $\{x, y\} \subset X$ is said to be a Li-Yorke chaotic pair, if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) > 0, \quad \liminf_{n\to\infty} d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) = 0.$$

Furthermore, f is called Li-Yorke chaotic, if there exists an uncountable subset $\Gamma \subseteq X$ such that each pair of two distinct points in Γ is a Li-Yorke chaotic pair.

From Schweizer and Smítal's paper [14], distributional chaos is defined in the following way.

For any pair $\{x, y\} \subset X$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define distributional function $F^n_{xy} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$:

$$F^n_{xy}(\tau) = \frac{1}{n}\#\{0 \le i \le n-1 : d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < \tau\}.$$

Date: Mar. 26, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B37, 47B99; Secondary 54H20, 37B99.

Key words and phrases. norm-unimodality, distributional chaos, Li-Yorke chaos, similarity, spectra, normal operator, compact operator.

The first author is supported by the Youth Foundation of Department of Mathematics, Jilin university.

Furthermore, define

$$F_{xy}(\tau)=\liminf_{n\to\infty}F^n_{xy}(\tau),$$
$$F^*_{xy}(\tau)=\limsup_{n\to\infty}F^n_{xy}(\tau)$$

Both F_{xy} and F^*_{xy} are nondecreasing functions and may be viewed as cumulative probability distributional functions satisfying $F_{xy}(\tau)=F^*_{xy}(\tau)=0$ for $\tau<0$.

Definition 1.2. $\{x,y\}\subset X$ is said to be a distributionally chaotic pair, if

$$F^*_{xy}(\tau)\equiv 1,\ \ \forall\ \ \tau>0\quad and\quad F_{xy}(\epsilon)=0,\ \ \exists\ \ \epsilon>0.$$

Furthermore, f is called distributionally chaotic, if there exists an uncountable subset $\Lambda\subseteq X$ such that each pair of two distinct points in Λ is a distributionally chaotic pair. Moreover, Λ is called a distributionally ϵ-scrambled set.

Distributional chaos always implies Li-Yorke chaos, as it requires more complicated statistical dependence between orbits than the existence of points which are proximal but not asymptotic. The converse implication is not true in general. However in practice, even in the simple case of Li-Yorke chaos, it might be quite difficult to prove chaotic behavior from the very definition. Such attempts have been made in the context of linear operators (see [3, 4]). Further results of [3] were extended in [12] to distributional chaos for the annihilation operator of a quantum harmonic oscillator. More about distributional chaos, one can see [16, 1, 10, 11, 17].

We are interested in the dynamical systems induced by continuous linear operators on Banach spaces. From Rolewicz's article [13], hypercyclicity is widely studied. In fact, it coincides a dynamical property "transitivity". Now there has been got so many improvements at this aspect (Grosse-Erdmann's and Shapiro's articles [6, 15] are good surveys.). Specially, distributional chaos for shift operators were discussed by F. Martínez-Giménez, et.al. in [5]. In a recent article [8] of the first author, one introduce a new dynamical property for linear operators called norm-unimodality which implies distributional chaos.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a Banach space and let $T\in\mathcal{L}(X)$. T is called norm-unimodal, if we have a constant $r>1$ such that for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_m\in X$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|T^kx_m\|=0,\quad and\quad \|T^ix_m\|\geq r^i\|x_m\|,\quad i=1,2,\ldots,m.$$

Furthermore, such r is said to be a norm-unimodal constant for the norm-unimodal operator T.

Theorem 1.4 (Distributionally Chaotic Criterion [8]). *Let X be a Banach space and let $T\in\mathcal{L}(X)$. If T is norm-unimodal, then T is distributionally chaotic.*

More generally,

Theorem 1.5 (Weakly Distributionally Chaotic Criterion [8]). *Let X be a Banach space and let $T\in\mathcal{L}(X)$. Suppose C_m be a sequence of positive numbers increasing to $+\infty$. If there exist $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ in X satisfying*

$(WNU1)$ $\lim_{k\to\infty}\|T^kx_m\|=0.$

$(WNU2)$ *There is a sequence of positive integers N_m increasing to $+\infty$, such that* $\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\#\{0\leq i\leq N_m-1;\|T^ix_m\|\geq C_m\|x_m\|\}}{N_m}=1.$

Then T is distributionally chaotic.

In the present paper, we'll show that norm-unimodality is similar invariant firstly, and give a description for the spectra of norm-unimodal operators. As an application of Theorem 1.4, in each nest algebra there exist distributional chaotic operators. At the end, normal operators and compact operators are referred to. It is proven that neither normal operator nor compact operator is Li-Yorke chaotic, and any compact perturbation of λI can't be norm-unimodal. Surprisingly, a small compact perturbation of the unit operator could be distributionally chaotic.

2. NORM-UNIMODAL OPERATORS

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space. let $T, C \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and C be an invertible operator. If T is norm-unimodal, then $C^{-1}TC$ is also norm-unimodal.*

Proof. Firstly, claim that the following statements are equivalent.

(a). T is norm-unimodal.

(b). There are constants $r > 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $m \geq N$, there exist $x_m \in X$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k x_m\| = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad ||T^i x_m|| \geq r^i \|x_m\|, \quad i = N, N+1, \ldots, m.$$

For convenience, denote the property (b) as $P(N)$.

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$ is obvious.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$: If $P(N)$ holds, then for any $m \geq N-1$, there exist $x_m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\|T^i x_m\| \geq r^i \|x_m\|, \quad i = N, N+1, \ldots, m+N-1, \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k x_m\| = 0.$$

For $N-1$, it is either $||T^{N-1}x_m|| \geq r^{N-1}||x_m||$ or $||T^{N-1}x_m|| < r^{N-1}||x_m||$.

If $||T^{N-1}x_m|| < r^{N-1}||x_m||$, set $y_m = T^{N-1}x_m$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||T^{N-1}y_m|| \geq r^{N-1}||r^{N-1}x_m|| > r^{N-1}||T^{N-1}x_m|| = r^{N-1}||y_m||, \\
&||T^i y_m|| = ||T^{i+N-1}x_m|| \geq r^i ||y_m||, N \leq i \leq m, \quad \textit{and} \\
&\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k y_m\| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

So in any case, $P(N-1)$ holds, i.e., there exist $z_m \in X$ such that

$$||T^i z_m|| \geq r^i ||z_m||, N-1 \leq i \leq m, \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k z_m\| = 0.$$

Continue in this matter finitely, one can see (a) holds.

Now it's sufficient to show that $C^{-1}TC$ satisfies (b).

Suppose T is norm-unimodal. Then there is a constant $r > 1$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_m \in X$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k x_m\| = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \|T^i x_m\| \geq r^i \|x_m\|, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Choose r_1 such that $1 < r_1 < r$. Then there exist N_1 such that for any $k \geq N_1$, $(r/r_1)^k \geq ||C|| \cdot ||C^{-1}||$. Given any $m \geq N_1$, we have for $N_1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$||(C^{-1}TC)^i C^{-1}x_m|| \geq \frac{1}{||C||}||T^i x_m|| \geq \frac{r^i}{||C||}||x_m|| \geq r_1^i ||C^{-1}|| \cdot ||x_m|| \geq r_1^i ||C^{-1}x_m||,$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} ||(C^{-1}TC)^k C^{-1}x_m|| = 0.$$

Therefore, norm-unimodality is similar invariant. □

Next, let's consider the the spectra of norm-unimodal operators. Denote $\mathbb{D}$ be the unit open disk on complex plane. Moreover, $\mathbb{D}^-$ denoted as its closure and $\partial\mathbb{D}$ denoted as its boundary.

Proposition 2.2. *Let T be a norm-unimodal operator on complex Hilbert space. Then there exist $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D}^{-c}$ such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. Suppose it's not true. Then according to Riesz Decomposition Theorem, we can obtain

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & \\ & T_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{matrix},$$

where $\sigma(T_1) = \sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D}^-$, $\sigma(T_2) = \sigma(T) - \sigma(T_1)$ and $\sigma(T_2) \subseteq \{z; |z| \geq \delta > 1\}$

Furthermore,

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & * \\ & \widetilde{T_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_1^{\perp} \end{matrix},$$

where $\sigma(\widetilde{T_2}) = \sigma(T_2)$.

Since T is norm-unimodal, then there is a constant $r > 1$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $x_m \in H$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T^k x_m\| = 0, \quad and \quad \|T^i x_m\| \geq r^i \|x_m\|, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

In addition,

$$x_m = x_m^1 + x_m^2, \quad where \quad x_m^1 \in H_1, \quad x_m^2 \in H_1^{\perp},$$

then $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \|\widetilde{T_2}^k x_m^2\| = 0$.

According to Spectral Mapping Theorem and Spectral Radius Formula,

$$r_1(\widetilde{T_2})^{-1} = r(\widetilde{T_2}^{-1}) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|\widetilde{T_2}^{-k}\|^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Note $r_1(\widetilde{T_2}) \geq \delta > 1$, one can choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $r_1(\widetilde{T_2})^{-1} + \epsilon < 1$. Then there exist $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq M$,

$$\frac{1}{\|\widetilde{T_2}^{-k}\|} \geq (\frac{1}{r_1(\widetilde{T_2})^{-1} + \epsilon})^k.$$

Since as $k \to \infty$,

$$0 \leftarrow ||\widetilde{T_2}^k x_m^2|| \geq \frac{1}{||\widetilde{T_2}^{-k}||} ||x_m^2|| \geq (\frac{1}{r_1(\widetilde{T_2})^{-1} + \epsilon})^k \|x_m^2\| \geq \|x_m^2\|,$$

then $x_m^2 = 0$ and hence $T^k x_m = T_1^k x_m^1$. Consequently, for $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$||T_1^i x_m^1|| = ||T^i x_m|| \geq r^i ||x_m|| = r^i ||x_m^1||.$$

So $r(T_1) \geq r > 1$. It is a contradiction. □

Speaking intuitively, in the spectra of each norm-unimodal operator there should be a sequence of points outside the unit circle converges to a point on the unit circle. And there exist norm-unimodal operators whose spectra disjoint with the unit open disk.

Example 2.3. Let T be a bilateral weighted shift operator with weights $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as

$$\omega_n = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } \ n \geq 0 \\ \dfrac{|n|-1}{|n|}, & \text{if } \ n < 0 \end{cases}$$

Obviously, T is norm-unimodal but $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{D} = \phi$.

From theorem 1.4, we see norm-unimodality implies distributional chaos. However, there exist distributionally chaotic operators but not norm-unimodal and its spectra coincides the unit circle.

Example 2.4. Let T be a bilateral weighted shift operator with weights $\{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ as

$$\omega_n = \begin{cases} \dfrac{n+2}{n+1}, & \text{if } \ n \geq 0 \\ \dfrac{|n|-1}{|n|}, & \text{if } \ n < 0 \end{cases}$$

Obviously, T is distributional chaotic and $\sigma(T) = \partial\mathbb{D}$, although it is not norm-unimodal.

At the end of this section, let's consider distributional chaos in nest algebra via the technique of norm-unimodality.

Definition 2.5. A nest $\mathfrak{N}$ is a chain of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space H containing $\{0\}$ and H which is closed under intersection and closed span. The nest algebra $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$ of a given nest $\mathfrak{N}$ is the set of all operators T such that $TN \subseteq N$ for every element N in $\mathfrak{N}$.

Definition 2.6. Given a nest $\mathfrak{N}$. For N belonging to $\mathfrak{N}$, define

$$N_- = \bigvee \{N^{'} \in \mathfrak{N}; \ N^{'} < N\}$$

If $N_- \neq N$, then we call N_- the immediate predecessor to N, otherwise N has no immediate predecessor.

Lemma 2.7. *$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$ is a weak operator closed subalgebra of $B(H)$.*

The proof can be referred to [2].

Proposition 2.8. *Given a nest $\mathfrak{N}$, then there exist an operator T in $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$ such that T is distributionally chaotic.*

Proof. If H has the immediate predecessor H_1, then H_1 will be considered. Continue in this manner, we may obtain either a chain $H > H_1 > H_2 > \cdots$, or a H_M which has no immediate predecessor.

The first case. Let $F_i = H_{i-1} \ominus H_i$ and $H_0 = H$. Choose $f_i \in F_i$ such that $\|f_i\| = 1$. Define

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_{a_n+i+1} \otimes 2f_{a_n+i},$$

where $a_1 = 1$ and $a_n - a_{n-1} = n$. Since each $f_{a_n+i+1} \otimes 2f_{a_n+i}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$, then A belongs to $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$ by lemma 2.7.

The second case. Since H_M has no immediate predecessor,there exist a chain $N_1 < N_2 < \cdots < N_i < \cdots < H_M$ in $\mathfrak{N}$ such that $SOT - \lim_{i\to\infty} P(N_i) = P(H_M)$. Let $E_i = N_{i+1} \ominus N_i$, $i \geq 1$. Choose $e_i \in E_i$ such that $\parallel e_i \parallel = 1$. Define

$$B = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_{a_n+i} \otimes 2e_{a_n+i+1},$$

where $a_1 = 1$ and $a_n - a_{n-1} = n$. Since each $e_{a_n+i} \otimes 2e_{a_n+i+1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$, then A belongs to $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{N})$ by lemma 2.7.

One can easily see that both A and B have the form of matrix under suitable bases as follows :

$$\begin{bmatrix} W_1 & & & & \\ & W_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & W_{k-1} & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$W_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(k\times k)} .$$

T could seem as this matrix, so one can easily prove that T is norm-unimodal and hence is distributional chaos. ☐

3. NORMAL OPERATORS AND COMPACT OPERATORS

In this section, we'll consider norm-unimodality and Li-Yorke chaos for normal operators and compact operators.

Proposition 3.1. *Let N be a normal operator on separable complex Hilbert space. Then N is impossible to be Li-Yorke chaotic. Consequently, N is neither distributionally chaotic nor norm-unimodal.*

Proof. Since N is normal, there exist finite positive regular Borel measure μ and Borel function $\eta \in L^\infty(\sigma(N), \mu)$ such that N and M_η are unitarily equivalent. M_η is multiplication by η on $L^2(\sigma(N), \mu)$. To see M_η being not Li-Yorke chaotic, it's sufficient to prove $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \|M_\eta^m(f)\| = 0$ if $0 \in \omega(f)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{z \in \sigma(N); |\eta(z)| \geq 1\}, \\ \Delta_2 &= \{z \in \sigma(N); |\eta(z)| < 1\}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \{z \in \sigma(N); f(z) = 0 \;\; a.e. \; [\mu]\}, \\ \Delta_4 &= \{z \in \sigma(N); f(z) \neq 0 \;\; a.e. \; [\mu]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \in \omega(f)$, there exist $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim\limits_{m_k\to\infty} \|M_\eta^{m_k}(f)\| = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leftarrow \|M_\eta^{m_k}(f)\|^2 &= \int_{\sigma(N)} |\eta^{m_k} f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Delta_1\cap\Delta_4} |\eta^{m_k} f|^2 d\mu + \int_{\Delta_2\cap\Delta_4} |\eta^{m_k} f|^2 d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{\Delta_1\cap\Delta_4} |f|^2 d\mu + \int_{\Delta_2\cap\Delta_4} |\eta^{m_k} f|^2 d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mu(\Delta_1\cap\Delta_4) = 0$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist k such that $m_k \leq m < m_{k+1}$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}||M_\eta^{m}(f)||^2 &= \int_{\Delta_2\cap\Delta_4} |\eta^{m} f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Delta_2\cap\Delta_4} |\eta^{m_k} f|^2 |\eta^{m-m_k}|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\Delta_2\cap\Delta_4} |\eta^{m_k} f|^2 d\mu \\ &= \|M_\eta^{m_k}(f)\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \|M_\eta^{m}(f)\| = 0$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let K be a compact operator on complex Hilbert space, then K is impossible to be Li-Yorke chaotic. Consequently, K is neither distributional chaotic nor norm-unimodal.*

Proof. According to Riesz Decomposition Theorem, we have

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & \\ & K_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{matrix}$$

where $\sigma(K_1) = \sigma(K) \cap \mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma(K_2) = \sigma(K) - \sigma(K_1)$.

Furthermore,

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & * \\ & \widetilde{K_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_1^{\perp} \end{matrix}$$

and $\sigma(\widetilde{K_2}) = \sigma(K_2) = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_l\}$.

Since K is a compact operator , then

(1) there exists $0 < \rho < 1, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $x \in H_1$, $\|K^n(x)\| \leq \rho^n \|x\|$, for every $n \geq N$.

(2) $\widetilde{K_2}$ is similar to Jordan model $J = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \{\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_i} J_{n_j^i}(\mu_i)\}$, where

$$J_n(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \mu \end{bmatrix}_{(n\times n)} .$$

Hence

$$K \sim T = \begin{bmatrix} K_1 & * \\ & J \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_1^{\perp} \end{matrix}.$$

Consequently, T and K are simultaneously Li-Yorke chaotic or not. At present, it suffice to consider the condition of only one Jordan block $J = J_n(\mu)$.

If $|\mu| > 1$, one can use the technology of proposition 2.2 to obtain the result.

Let $|\mu| = 1$. Since the dimension of $H_1^{\perp}$ is finite, then for each $y \in H_1^{\perp}$,

$$y = y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2 + \ldots + y_n e_n,$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H_1^{\perp}$.

For each $z \in H$, there is a unique decomposition $z = x + y$ where $x \in H_1$ and $y \in H_1^{\perp}$. Claim that $y = 0$ if $0 \in \omega(z)$. Suppose $y \neq 0$. There must be i such that $y_i \neq 0,\ y_{i+1} = y_{i+2} = \ldots = y_n = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^m(z)\|^2 \geq \|J^m(y)\|^2 = \| &\begin{bmatrix} C_m^0\mu^m & C_m^1\mu^{m-1} & \cdots & C_m^{n-1}\mu^{m-n+1} \\ & C_m^0\mu^m & \cdots & C_m^{n-2}\mu^{m-n+2} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & C_m^0\mu^m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \|^2 \\
&= |C_m^0\mu^m y_1 + C_m^1\mu^{m-1} y_2 + \ldots + C_m^{n-1}\mu^{m-n+1} y_n|^2 + \\
&|C_m^0\mu^m y_2 + C_m^1\mu^{m-1} y_3 + \ldots + C_m^{n-2}\mu^{m-n+2} y_n|^2 + \ldots + |C_m^0\mu^m y_n|^2 \\
&\geq |C_m^0\mu^m y_i + C_m^1\mu^{m-1} y_{i+1} + \ldots + C_m^{n-i}\mu^{m-n+i} y_n|^2 \\
&= |y_i|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction to $0 \in \omega(z)$.

Consequently, if $0 \in \omega(z)$, we have $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \|T^m(z)\| = \lim\limits_{m\to\infty} \|K_1^m(x)\| = 0$. Therefore, T is impossible to be Li-Yorke chaotic, and so is K. Furthermore, K is neither distributional chaotic nor norm-unimodal. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let K be a compact operator on complex Hilbert space, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\lambda I + K$ is not norm-unimodal.*

Proof. If $|\lambda| \neq 1$, then the result is followed by proposition 2.2. In fact, $\lambda I + K$ is not Li-Yorke chaotic currently.

Now let $|\lambda| = 1$. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{M}$, $1 \leq M \leq \infty$, be the spectra of $\sigma(\lambda I + K) \cap \mathbb{D}^{-c}$. Since K is a compact operator, then according to Riesz Decomposition Theorem

$$\lambda I + K = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & & & & \\ * & T_2 & & & \\ * & * & T_3 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ * & * & * & \ldots & T_\infty \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ H_3 \\ \vdots \\ H_\infty \end{matrix}$$

where $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n, \ldots$ are such subspaces that $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} H_j$ coincides with the Riesz subspace of $(\lambda I + K)^*$ corresponding to the clopen subset $\{\overline{\mu_1}, \overline{\mu_2}, \ldots, \overline{\mu_n}\}$ of $\sigma((\lambda I + K)^*)$, $\sigma(T_n) = \{\mu_n\}$ and $H_\infty = H \ominus \{\bigoplus_{1\leq n\leq M} H_n\}$. It is not difficult to check that $\sigma(T_\infty) \subseteq \sigma(\lambda I + K) - \{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{M}$.

Suppose $\lambda I + K$ is norm-unimodal, i.e., there is a constant $r > 1$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x \in H$ satisfying

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|(\lambda I + K)^k x\| = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \|(\lambda I + K)^i x\| \geq r^i \|x\|, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

For such $x \in H$, write $x = (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{M} x_n) \oplus x_\infty$. Then $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \|(\lambda I + K)^k x\| = 0$ implies $\bigoplus_{n=1}^{M} x_n = 0$; and $||T_\infty^i x|| = ||(\lambda I + K)^i x|| \geq r^i ||x||, 1 \leq i \leq m$, implies $r(T_\infty) \geq r$. However, this is impossible since $\sigma(T_\infty) \subseteq \mathbb{D}^-$. $\square$

In the research of hypercyclicity, Herrero and Wang [7] gave a surprising result.

Proposition 3.4 ([7]). *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a small compact operator $\|K_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$ such that $I + K_\epsilon$ is hypercyclic.*

Correspondingly, we obtain a similar result for distributional chaos. Although $I+K$ can't be norm-unimodal, it may hold Weakly Distributionally Chaotic Criterion.

Proposition 3.5. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a small compact operator $\|K_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$ such that $I + K_\epsilon$ is distributionally chaotic.*

Proof. Without losses, assume $\mathcal{H}$ be a separable complex Hilbert space. Given any $\epsilon > 0$. Let C_i be a sequence of positive numbers increasing to $+\infty$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, set $\epsilon_i = 4^{-i}\epsilon$. Then we can select L_i such that $(1+\epsilon_i)^{L_i} \geq \sqrt{2}C_i$. Moreover, choose m_i such that $\frac{L_i}{m_i} < \frac{1}{i}$.

Write $n_i = 2m_i$. We can obtain a orthogonal decomposition of Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$, where H_i is n_i-dimensional subspace. Define operators on each H_i as follows,

$$S_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2\epsilon_i & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\epsilon_i \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(n_i\times n_i)}, \quad K_i = \begin{bmatrix} -\epsilon_i & 2\epsilon_i & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\epsilon_i \\ & & & -\epsilon_i \end{bmatrix}_{(n_i\times n_i)}.$$

Then

$$I_i + K_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\epsilon_i & 2\epsilon_i & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\epsilon_i \\ & & & 1-\epsilon_i \end{bmatrix}_{(n_i\times n_i)} = (1-\epsilon_i)I_i + S_i.$$

Let $x_i = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in H_i$. We have for $1 \leq n \leq m_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I_i + K_i)^n(x_i)\| \\ = \; & \|((1-\epsilon_i)I_i + S_i)^n(x_i)\| \\ = \; & \|(\sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k (1-\epsilon_i)^k {S_i}^{n-k})x_i\| \\ \geq \; & \|(\underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k (1-\epsilon_i)^k (2\epsilon_i)^{n-k}, \ldots, \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k (1-\epsilon_i)^k (2\epsilon_i)^{n-k}}_{m_i}, 0, \ldots, 0)\| \\ = \; & \sqrt{m_i}(1+\epsilon_i)^n \\ = \; & \frac{(1+\epsilon_i)^n}{\sqrt{2}}\|x_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\#\{0 \le k \le m_i - 1; \|(I_i + K_i)^k x_i\| \ge C_i \|x_i\|\}}{m_i} \\ \ge \quad & \frac{\#\{L_i, L_i + 1, \dots, m_i - 1\}}{m_i} \\ = \quad & 1 - \frac{L_i}{m_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice K_i is of finite rank and $\|K_i\| \le 4^{1-i}\epsilon$. Hence, $K_\epsilon = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ is a compact operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\|K_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$. Since $I + K_\epsilon = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}(I_i + K_i)$, then for previous x_i seemed as in $\mathcal{H}$,

($WNU1$) $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \|(I + K_\epsilon)^k x_i\| = 0$ since $r(I + K_\epsilon) < 1$.

($WNU2$) The sequence of positive integers m_i increasing to $+\infty$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\#\{0 \le k \le m_i - 1; \|(I + K_\epsilon)^k x_i\| \ge C_i \|x_i\|\}}{m_i} \\ = \quad & \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\#\{0 \le k \le m_i - 1; \|(I_i + K_i)^k x_i\| \ge C_i \|x_i\|\}}{m_i} \\ = \quad & \lim_{i\to\infty} 1 - \frac{L_i}{m_i} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $I + K_\epsilon$ is distributionally chaotic by theorem 1.5. □

Remark 3.6. From the construction above, we can see that distributional chaos isn't preserved under compact perturbations for bounded linear operators. The previous operator $I + K_\epsilon$ is a counterexample. In fact, $(I + K_\epsilon) - \widetilde{K_i}$ is not distributionally chaotic, where $\widetilde{K_i} = (\bigoplus_{j=1}^{i} 0_{H_j}) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{j=i+1}^{\infty} K_j)$ is a compact operator with norm less than $4^{-i}\epsilon$.

References

[1] F.Balibrea, J. Smítal and M. Štefánková, The three versions of distributional chaos, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, Volume 23, Issue 5,* 2005, 1581-1583.

[2] K. R. Davidson, Nest Algebras, Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex, 1988.

[3] J. Duan, X. C. Fu, P. D. Liu and A. Manning, A linear chaotic quantum harmonic oscillator, *Appl. Math. Lett. 12(1)*, 1999, 15-19.

[4] X. C. Fu, J. Duan, Infinite-dimensional linear dynamical systems with chaoticity, *J. Nonlinear Sci. 9(2)*, 1999, 197-211.

[5] F. Martínez-Giménez, P. Oprocha and A. Peris, Distributional chaos for backward shifts, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 351(2)*, 2009, 607-615.

[6] K. G. Grosse-Erdmann, Recent developments in hypercyclicity , *Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat., RACSAM Vol. 97 (2)* 2003, 273-286.

[7] D. Herrero and Z. Wang, Compact perturbations of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators, *Indiana Univ. Math. J. 39* 1990, 819-829.

[8] Bingzhe Hou, Puyu Cui and Yang Cao, Chaos for Cowen-Douglas operators, submitted, available at http://cn.arxiv.org/abs/0903.4246.

[9] T. Y. Li and J. A. Yorke, Period three implies chaos, *Amer. Math. Monthly 82(10)*, 1975, 985-992.

[10] Gongfu Liao, Lidong Wang and Xiaodong Duan, A chaotic function with a distributively scrambled set of full Lebesgue measure, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, Vol. 66(10)*,2007, 2274-2280.

[11] Gongfu Liao, Zhenyan Chu and Qinjie Fan, Relations between mixing and distributional chaos, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, In Press, Corrected Proof, Available online 16 September 2008.

[12] P. Oprocha, A quantum harmonic oscillator and strong chaos, *J. Phys. A 39(47),* 2006, 14559-14565.

[13] S. Rolewicz, On orbits of elements, *Studia Math., Vol. 32* 1969, 17-22.

[14] B. Schweizer and J. Smítal, Measures of chaos and a spectral decomposition of dynamical systems on the interval, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344(2)*, 1994, 737-754.

[15] J. H. Shapiro, Notes on Dynamics of Linear Operators, unpublished Lecture Notes, available at http://www.math.msu.edu/~shapiro.

[16] J. Smítal, M. Štefánková, Distributional chaos for triangular maps, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, Volume 21, Issue 5*, 2004, 1125-1128.

[17] Hui Wang, Gongfu Liao and Qinjie Fan, Substitution systems and the three versions of distributional chaos, *Topology and its Applications, Volume 156, Issue 2*, 2008, 262-267.

Bingzhe Hou, Department of Mathematics , Jilin university, 130012, Changchun, P.R.China

E-mail address: `houbz@jlu.edu.cn`

Geng Tian, Department of Mathematics , Jilin university, 130012, Changchun, P.R.China

E-mail address: `tian_geng@yahoo.com.cn`

Luoyi Shi, Department of Mathematics , Jilin university, 130012, Changchun, P.R.China

E-mail address: `shiluoyi811224@sina.com`